

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

MY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,680	06/29/2001	Brad A. Armstrong	29	8703
75	90 01/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Brad A. Armstrong P.O. Box 1419			ENATSKY, AARON L	
Paradise, CA 95967			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3713	10

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5,0

\$			Ì			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/896,680	ARMSTRONG, BRAD A.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron L Enatsky	3713				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Aaron L Enatsky.	(3)Mike O'Neill.					
(2) <u>Teresa Walberg</u> .	(4) Brad Armstrong.					
Date of Interview: 14 January 2004.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP Pub. No. 5-87760 t</u>	o Furukawa.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		if an agreement was				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attache	copy of the amendments that v	reed would render the cla would render the claims	aims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse states.	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT S INTERVIEW SUMMAR	IS ?Y			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Deresa Wallor Examiner's signature, if required



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed issues relating to the Withdrawal of Allowance and the use of Furukawa. Regarding the Withdrawal of Allowance, Applicant believes that the statement by the Examiner "Newly discovered references make the claims unpatentable" was a mistake. Applicant believes, because of this statement, the withdrawal from allowance was in error and should be withdrawn. Examiner acknowledges that the art used in the rejection was in fact already of record and the statement of newly discovered references was in error. Examiner indicated that a correction to the statement would be made in the next office action. The status of the withdrawal is that prior art not previously applied in a rejection was in fact properly used in a rejection on 07/31/03 and claims remain rejected.

Applicant also discussed issues relating to Furukawa. Applicant clarified the contents of claim 1 and detailed various aspects of Furukawa. Applicant believes that Furukawa does not teach that pressure sensitive buttons are not taught on the right hand side of the controller. Applicant also directed Examiner's attention to various points in Furukawa in attempts to show that feature to be missing in the reference. Examiner explained to Applicant that a definitive answer could not be given at the moment, and that further review of the standing art is needed. Examiner asked Applicant to provide written correspondence detailing Applicant's position so that a proper answer can be supplied.